

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/176,077	10/20/1998	ROBIN ARTHUR GREEN	CA9-98-038	7754
25259	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPO		BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2176	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/176,077	GREEN, ROBIN ARTHU	JR		
,,,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William L. Bashore	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	§		
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply t ch places the application	o a on in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M	MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	on fee under is set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 May 2004. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			l		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed an	nendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT p	lace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were n	ewly		
<ul><li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li></ul>			an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) (	Down J. Bash Letter 20, 20 WILLIAM L. BASH	plac		
·		11 1 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1			
		PATENT EXAMIN TECH CENTER 2	1 E R 1 U O		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant respectfully traverses all rejected claims pending. The examiner respectfully notes that the cited references teach and/or fairly suggest Applicant's claimed limitations at the present time, as presently claimed (see also Final office action mailed 3/16/2004, an Advisory action mailed 5/11/2004.